

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MAX REED,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 AMELIA L. BIZZARO, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01186-APG-MDC

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 12]

8 On December 16, 2024, Magistrate Judge Couvillier recommended that I dismiss plaintiff
9 Max Reed's amended complaint without leave to amend and deny his applications to proceed in
10 forma pauperis as moot. ECF No. 12. Reed did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a
11 de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district
12 courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed
13 findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th
14 Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and
15 recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Couvillier's report and recommendation
17 **(ECF No. 12) is accepted**, and plaintiff Max Reed's amended complaint **(ECF No. 10) is**
18 **dismissed** without leave to amend in this court, but with leave to pursue any state law claims in
19 state court. The motions for leave to proceed in forma pauperis **(ECF Nos. 1, 11) are DENIED**
20 **as moot**. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

21 DATED this 7th day of January, 2025.

22 

23 ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE